PATS COOPERATION TREAT

To:

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

United States Patent and Trademark Office (Box PCT) Crystal Plaza 2 Washington, DC 20231

	ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE			
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 18 May 1999 (18.05.99)	in its capacity as elected Office			
International application No.	Applicant's or agent's file reference			
PCT/EP98/05720	PCS9455JRH			
International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
04 September 1998 (04.09.98)	23 September 1997 (23.09.97)			
Applicant		,,		
HUATAN, Hiep				
HUATAN, Hiep				

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

Authorized officer

C. Cupello
Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	Ct.	O1'-
		ES FI	Spain Finland	_		SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia			LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
ΑÜ	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
ΑZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	ΙE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	zw	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	КZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PCS9455JRH		of Transmittal of International Search Report (220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP 98/05720	04/09/1998	23/09/1997
Applicant		
PFIZER LIMITED et al.		~
This International Search Report has be according to Article 18. A copy is being	een prepared by this International Searching Aut transmitted to the International Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consis	sts of a total of3sheets. oppy of each priorart document cited in this report	t.
1. χ Certain claims were found u	insearchable(see Box I).	
2. Unity of invention is lacking	(see Box II).	·
The international application content international search was carried.	contains disclosure of a nucleotide and/or amin ed out on the basis of the sequence listing	o acid sequence listing and the
[file	ed with the international application.	•
fu	rnished by the applicant separately from the inte	rnational application,
•	but not accompanied by a statement to the matter going beyond the disclosure in the	
тг	ranscribed by this Authority	
4. With regard to the title, χ the	e text is approved as submitted by the applicant	t
th	e text has been established by this Authority to r	ead as follows:
		•
5. With regard to the abstract,		
<u>— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — </u>	e text is approved as submitted by the applicant	
Bo	e text has been established, according to Rule 3 ox III. The applicant may, within one month from earch Report, submit comments to this Authority	the date of mailing of this International
The figure of the drawings to be pul	blished with the abstract is:	
—	s suggested by the applicant.	χ None of the figures.
\equiv	ecause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	LAJ Ű
be	ecause this figure better characterizes the inventi	ion.



ernational application No.

PCT/EP 98/05720

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Remark: Although claim 21 is directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 6 A61K31/35 A61k A61K31/365 A61K9/00 A61K9/20 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 6 A61K Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. Α EP 0 311 195 A (MERCK) 12 April 1989 1 - 21cited in the application see claims 1,7,15 EP 0 240 274 A (MERCK) 7 October 1987 Α 1 - 21cited in the application see claims 1,7 Α EP 0 473 223 A (MERCK) 4 March 1992 1-21 cited in the application see claims 1,3 see examples 7-12 Α EP 0 537 998 A (MERCK) 21 April 1993 1-21 cited in the application see claims 1,7 see page 3, line 2 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. ° Special categories of cited documents : "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention citation or other special reason (as specified) cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 26 January 1999 02/02/1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Scarponi, U Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016





Patent document cited in search repo		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 311195	Α	12-04-1989	US	4847243 A	11-07-1989
			AU	2355688 A	20-04-1989
			DE	3883338 A	23-09-1993
EP 240274	Α .	07-10-1987	AU	587895 B	31-08-1989
			AU	7100087 A	08-10-1987
			JP	62265223 A	18-11-1987
EP 473223	Α	04-03-1992	AT	122230 T	15-05-1995
			AU	645594 B	20-01-1994
			AU	8267891 A	27-02-1992
			CA	2049668 A	23-02-1992
			DE	69109581 D	14-06-1995
			DE	69109581 T	18-01-1996
			DK	473223 T	10-07-1995
			ES	2072530 T	16-07-1995
			ΙE	67141 B	06-03-1996
			IL	99180 A	04-01-1998
			JP	2588328 B	05-03-1997
			JP	4230621 A	19-08-1992
			NZ	239370 A	27-04-1994
			PT	98708 A	31-08-1992
			US 	5837228 A	17-11-1998
EP 537998	Α	21-04-1993	US	5411737 A	02-05-1995
			AT	147621 T	15-02-1997
			AU	656815 B	16-02-1995
			AU	2701792 A	22-04-1993
			CA	2080574 A	16-04-1993
			DE	69216755 D	27-02-1997
			DE	69216755 T	24-07-1997
			FI	924640 A	16-04-1993
			JP `	2649002 B	03-09-1997
			JP	5194187 A	03-08-1993
			ZA	9207908 A	14-07-1993

PCT

REC'D	2 4 FEB 2000
WIP	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

App	olicant's o	or age	ent's file reference		Son Not	fication of Transmittal of International
PCS9455JRH			FOR FURTHER ACTION		ary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. International filing date (International filing date (day/mo	nth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PC	T/EP9	8/05	720	04/09/1998		23/09/1997
А6	1K31/3		nt Classification (IPC) or na	ational classification and IPC		
1.				nination report has been prepa	red by this Ir	nternational Preliminary Examining Authority
			smitted to the applicant		,	, , ,
2.	This F	EPC	PRT consists of a total o	f 6 sheets, including this cove	r sheet.	
	be	en a	mended and are the ba		s containing	tion, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Authority the PCT).
	These	ann	exes consist of a total o	f 1 sheets.		
						
3.	This re	eport	contains indications rel	ating to the following items:		
	i	\boxtimes	Basis of the report			
	11		Priority .			
	Ш	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to novelty,	inventive ste	ep and industrial applicability
1	IV		Lack of unity of invent	ion		
	٧	\boxtimes		under Article 35(2) with regard ions suporting such statement		nventive step or industrial applicability;
	VI		Certain documents ci	ted		
	VII		Certain defects in the	international application		
	VIII		Certain observations of	on the international application		
Dat	te of sub	missio	on of the demand	Date	of completion	of this report
17.	17/03/1999					,3 7. OL ÖÖ
Nai	Name and mailing address of the international			nal Auth	orized officer	aGDES MILL
pre	liminary		ining authority:			Signature of the state of the s
	$\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$	D-8	opean Patent Office 0298 Munich		er, D	(Mag) OFEMA,
	<u> </u>		+49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365 : +49 89 2399 - 4465	56 epmu d		9 89 2399 8746
				1 1 515	p 140. 743	,

ķ

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP98/05720

I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):

	uic i	epon since mey ac	o not comain amonament.					
	Description, pages:							
	1-10		as originally filed					
	Clai	ms, No.:						
			to the all to Ellerat					
	1-16	j	as originally filed					
	17-2	24	as received on	03/11/1999	with letter of	26/10/1999		
	Dra	wings, sheets:						
	1/2,	2/2	as originally filed					
2.	The	amendments have	e resulted in the cancellation of:		•			
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					
3.		This report has be considered to go l	een established as if (some of) t beyond the disclosure as filed (f	he amendmer Rule 70.2(c)):	nts had not been made	e, since they have been		
4.	Add	litional observation	ns, if necessary:					
			of opinion with regard to nove					
Th or	to b	estions whether the industrially applic	ne claimed invention appears to cable have not been examined in	be novel, to ir n respect of:	nvolve an inventive ste	ep (to be non-obvious),		
		the entire internat	tional application.					
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 17-19	9, 21.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/EP98/05720

b	e	c	а	u	S	e	
v	C	v	ч	u	J	C	

\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 17-19, 21 relate to the following subject matter
	which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):

see separate sheet

the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos.	are so unclear
that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	

- the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
- \square no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. .
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes:

Claims 5-7, 9, 11, 15, 16, 22, 23

No:

Claims 1-4, 8, 10, 12-14, 17-21, 24

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims 1-24

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes:

Claims see section III and V

No:

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet.

Section III:

Claims 17 to 19 and 21 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Section V:

Prior art

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-0 311 195 (MERCK) 12 April 1989 cited in the application

D2: EP-A-0 240 274 (MERCK) 7 October 1987 cited in the application

D3: EP-A-0 473 223 (MERCK) 4 March 1992 cited in the application

D4: EP-A-0 537 998 (MERCK) 21 April 1993 cited in the application

Novelty

The subject-matter of claims 1 to 4, 8, 10, 12 to 14, 17 to 21 and 24 is not new in the sense of Article 33 (2) PCT.

As far as claim 1 lacks further technical feature which would characterise an implantable tablet, its subject-matter discloses nothing more than a tablet (cf. examples of the present application). Every tablet comprises tableting excipients including a bulking agent.

Claim 1 is not novel, since the following documents disclose a tablet comprising a parasiticidal compound.

The process how to prepare such a tablet is not disclosed in D1 or D2, but it is also not claimed in present claim 1 and therefore irrelevant for novelty and inventive step.

D1 concerns the utility of avermectin compounds, in particular ivermectin, to prevent the effects of fescue toxicosis in animals grazing on tall fescue infected with fungi (page 2, lines 39 and 40). The active compound can be fed to the animal by incorporating it into the animal's feed or drinking water or it can be administered in a unit dosage form

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

either orally as a drench, tablet, bolus or sustained release bolus or parenterally by injection or from a subcutaneous implant, or by a topically applied solution or suspension (page 6, lines 4 to 7; claims 1, 6, 7, 11, 13, 15).

Therefore, D1 anticipates novelty of present claims 1 to 4, 8, 12 and 18 to 21.

D2 discloses a similar subject matter as D1 (claims 1, 6, 7, 10). Therefore, present claims 1 to 4, 8, 12 and 18 to 21 are not new over D1.

D4 relates to a drug delivery device which is designed to provide for the release of the active drug over a prolonged period of time. In one embodiment of the device the drug that is delivered by the device provides protection for the wearer of the device against parasites. The preferred drugs are those of the avermectin and milbemycin families of drugs which are active antiparasitic agents against internal and external parasites (page 2, lines 30 to 37). The drug or active agent could be any substance administered topically in the form of a collar or transdermal patch; or any drug or substance administered by a suppository, rectal or vaginal; or any drug or substance administered by a subcutaneous implant; or any drug or substance administered orally by a tablet, capsule or ruminal bolus (page 2, last sentence bridging page 3).

As D4 contains calcium stearate (page 5, line 10), which is a tableting excipient, the following claims are not new over D4: claims 1 (page 3, line 2) to 4, 10 (page 5, line 8), 12, 13, 14 (page 5, line 21), 17 to 21, 24.

D3 is directed to a poly(ortho ester) implant synthesized by a condensation reaction of polyol monomers, including the polyol anthelmintic drug ivermectin, with a diketene acetal to form a potent implantable dosage form useful against various developmental stages of Dirofilaria immitis, a filarial parasite and causative organism of canine heartworm disease.

D3 discloses a ivermectin/poly(ortho ester) cross linked implant formed by a polymerisation reaction. The resulting paste further contains a stabilizer and an antioxidant (page 7, lines 15 to 23).

As D3 is silent to tableting excipients including a bulking agent, present claims 1 to 24 are new over D3.

The subject-matter of claims 5 to 7, 9, 11, 15 and 16, 22 and 23 is new in the sense of Article 33 (2) PCT.

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Inventive step

The subject-matter of claims 5 to 7, 9, 11, 15 and 16, 22 and 23 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33 (3) PCT.

The additional features of claims 5 and 15 can be determined as alternatives and are therefore not inventive in the sense of Article 33 (3) PCT.

The same applies to claims 6, 7, and 9, which are directed to well-known tableting excipients.

The features of claims 11 and 16 are also well-known from D3 (present claim 11: D3, page 7, line 20; present claim 16: D3, page 5, line 52). Therefore, the person skilled in the art can derive the teaching of claims 11 and 16 from D3. According to Article 33 (3) PCT, claims 11 and 16 are not inventive.

The additional features of claims 22 and 23 can be determined by routine experiments and are therefore not inventive in the sense of Article 33 (3) PCT.

Even if the applicant is able to establish novelty no surprising aspect of the application as filed is described. Therefore, present claims 1 to 24 are not inventive according to the prior art as described above (Article 33 (3) PCT).

Industrial applicability

For the assessment of the present claims 17 to 19 and 21 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

- 17. Use of an antioxidant or a reducing agent in a formulation containing an avermectin or a milbemycin for preventing degradation of the avermectin or milbemycin.
- 18. The use as claimed in claim 17, wherein the formulation is suitable for sterilization, or has been sterilized, by irradiation.
- 5 19. The use as claimed in claim 17 or claim 18, wherein the formulation is not liquid.
 - 20. A process for the production of an implant as defined in claim 1, which comprises mixing the parasiticidal compound with the tabletting excipients and forming into the desired shape.
- 21. A method for the treatment or prevention of parasitic infections which comprises administering an implant as defined in any one of claims 1-16 to an animal in need of such treatment.
 - 22. An implant as claimed in claim 1, wherein greater than 95% by weight of the implant is made up of parasiticidal compound and tabletting excipients.
- 23. An implant as claimed in claim 22, wherein greater than 99% by weight of the implant is made up of parasiticidal compound and tabletting excipients.
 - A process for the production of an implant as defined in claim 12, which comprises mixing the parasiticidal compound with the tabletting excipients and an antioxidant or a reducing agent; forming into the desired shape; and sterilizing by irradiation.